16 April 1986

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD SUBJECT: Intelligence as a Force Multiplier--Meeting 25X1 75 April 1986 25X1 erstwhile NIO/GPF and now a consultant on "national 25X1 1. security affairs." I met with him in my office at my invitation on the suggestion of the current NIO/GPF, General Nichols. Until recently 25X1 was working on some RAND studies which were cut back because of Gramm-Rudman. l asked him for his views about the multiplier concept and how it might be approached in term of the NFIP role and contribution. said that intelligence as a force multiplier is a useful 25X1 figure of speech--the trick is to find the coefficient to demonstrate that intelligence does "magnify." The greatest opportunity for success in developing the concept is in the area of warfighting. In attempting to achieve real measurements intelligence should be divided into strategic and tactical pieces. The former is the economic and political big picture stuff, and the latter focuses on how the forces of, say, NATO are enhanced by NFIP systems and capabilities. In this connection, RAND is now conducting a study of "information needs of commanders" that includes a series of simulations intended to measure differences in performance, i.e., combat effectiveness (ground gained/lost, number of casualties, etc.) using unrequested and varying kinds and quantities of intelligence. said there were diverse ways of measuring it. He 25X1 3. As for l&W. said that warning, in any case, is in the minds of the decisionmakers and that in reality intelligence can only provide a series of what he termed "advisories." Only the decisionmaker is equipped with the "entire picture" and only he is the one who can conclude whether or not "they're coming." The best that intelligence can do is to present the risks, dangers and cogency of the issues--warning is an ongoing process, not an event. For strategic thought that games could be structured and played to 25X1 nuclear conflict, get at the value of particular kinds of intelligence despite the "out-of-the-world" kinds of events that they would cover such as intelligence and pre-emptive attack. And in the area of naval engagements and ASW, intelligence and its value in increasing the attrition radar of Soviet SSBNs

CONFIDENTIAL

and SSNs also could be measured.

useful especially if they addressed how the ba	ric military campaigns would be 25. attles might have been fought	<b>X</b> 1
today with support from NFIP systems.		
5. In the end, said deriving meaning should be the goal. The MOE could be results of various mathematically-shaped simulations and intelligence budgets. Budgeteers most comfortable with these data as compared latter are mostly irrelevant in the budget pro	lations in the packaging of the usually are engineers who are to what is provided in NIEs. The	X1
of NIEs by	25.	<b>X</b> 1
verbally sketched a "Dear Directhat might be taken that appeared to be rough (which I did not show to him). He commented likely conclude that it represented a two-year digest his ideas and their applicability and TOR crystallized. I got the impression that consult for the project.	that the DoD would look at it and r effort. I said I would try to would contact him again as the	X1
	25	X1

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Meeting	15 April 1986	25X1
Distribution 1 - VC/CIPC 1 - ES/CIPC 1 - CIPC 1 - CIPC 1 - CIPC 1 - CIPC/Subj 1 - CIPC/Chrono		25 <b>X</b> 1
DC1/1CS/C1PC/		25 <b>X</b> 1

CONFIDENTIAL